

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JAMES SMITH, SALLY SMITH,)	
KAYLA ROTELLINI,)	Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-1672
MICHAEL ROTELLINI and)	
ANTOINETTE MACZKO,)	HONORABLE WILLIAM S. STICKMAN
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
GENE KARCH, an individual,)	
)	
Defendant.)	Electronically Filed.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) REPORT OF THE PARTIES

Counsel for the parties and unrepresented parties shall confer regarding the matters identified herein and prepare a signed report in the following form to be filed at least 21 days before the Initial LCvR 16.1 Scheduling Conference or at such other time as ordered by the court. This report form may be downloaded from the Court's website as a word-processing document and the information filled in as requested on the downloaded form. The dates to be provided in the report are suggested dates and may be accepted or modified by the Court.

1. **Identification of counsel and unrepresented parties.** Set forth the names, addresses, telephone and fax numbers and e-mail addresses of each unrepresented party and of each counsel and identify the parties whom such counsel represent:

Plaintiffs are represented by:
Joel Sansone
Massimo Terzigni
Elizabeth Tuttle
Law Offices of Joel Sansone
Two Gateway Center, Suite 1290
603 Stanwix Street
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222
Phone - (412) 281-9194

Gene Karch is represented by:
Christopher P. Furman, Esquire
Makel & Associates, LLC
98 E. Maiden Street
Washington, Pennsylvania 15301
Phone – (724) 222-7639

2. **Set forth the general nature of the case** (patent, civil rights, anti-trust, class action, etc.): Plaintiffs allege that they were subjected to an unlawful search and seizure and that Defendant Karch trespassed on their property. Defendant Karch denies these allegations.

3. **Date Rule 26(f) Conference was held, the identification of those participating therein and the identification of any party who may not yet have been served or entered an appearance as of the date of said Conference:**

The conference was held on June 4, 2021. Elizabeth Tuttle participated on behalf of the Plaintiffs and Christopher Furman participated on behalf of Defendant Karch.

4. **Date of Rule 16 Initial Scheduling Conference as scheduled by the Court:** (Lead Trial Counsel and unrepresented parties shall attend the Rule 16 Initial Scheduling Conference with their calendars in hand for the purpose of scheduling other pre-trial events and procedures, including a Post-Discovery Status Conference; Counsel and unrepresented parties shall attend the Rule 16 Initial Scheduling Conference prepared to discuss the anticipated number of depositions and identities of potential deponents and the anticipated dates by which interrogatories, requests for production of documents and requests for admissions will be served):

June 14, 2021 at 10:00 am.

5. **Identify any party who has filed or anticipates filing a dispositive motion pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 and the date(s) by which any such anticipated motion may be filed:**

The parties do not anticipate any additional Rule 12 motions.

6. **Designate the specific Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) process the parties have discussed and selected, if any, and specify the anticipated time frame for completion of the ADR process. Set forth any other information the parties wish to communicate to the court regarding the ADR designation:**

The Parties have agreed upon early neutral evaluation. The parties will complete ADR within 90 days of the Initial Case Management Conference.

7. **Set forth any change that any party proposes to be made in the timing, form or requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a) disclosures, whether such change is opposed by any other party, whether any party has filed a motion seeking such change and whether any such motion has been ruled on by the Court:**

The Parties do not propose any changes to the initial disclosure procedures except as noted below.

8. **Subjects on which fact discovery may be needed.** (By executing this report, no party shall be deemed to (1) have waived the right to conduct discovery on subjects not listed herein or (2) be required to first seek the permission of the Court to conduct discovery with regard to subjects not listed herein):

The events alleged in Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; damages; and Defendant's defenses.

9. **Set forth suggested dates for the following** (The parties may elect by agreement to schedule a Post-Discovery Status Conference, as identified in Paragraph 12, below, at the conclusion of Fact-Discovery rather than at the conclusion of Expert Discovery. In that event, the parties should provide suggested dates only for the events identified in subparagraphs 9.a through 9.e, below. The parties shall provide such information even if dispositive motions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 have been or are anticipated to be filed. If there are dates on which the parties have been unable to agree, set forth the date each party proposes and a brief statement in support of each such party's proposed date. Attach to this report form a proposed Court Order setting forth all dates agreed to below and leaving a blank for the insertion of a date by the Court for any date not agreed to):

a. Date(s) on which disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) have been or will be made:

June 28, 2021.

b. Date by which any additional parties shall be joined:

July 28, 2021.

c. Date by which the pleadings shall be amended:

July 28, 2021.

d. Date by which fact discovery should be completed:

November 15, 2021.

e. If the parties agree that discovery should be conducted in phases or limited to or focused on particular issues, identify the proposed phases or issues and the dates by which discovery as to each phase or issue should be completed:

The parties agree to phase discovery with fact discovery occurring first followed by an end of fact discovery conference and expert discovery, if necessary.

f. Date by which plaintiff's expert reports should be filed:

N/A

g. Date by which depositions of plaintiff's expert(s) should be completed:

N/A

h. Date by which defendant's expert reports should be filed:

N/A

i. Date by which depositions of defendant's expert(s) should be completed:

N/A

j. Date by which third party expert's reports should be filed:

N/A

k. Date by which depositions of third party's expert(s) should be completed:

N/A

10. If the parties agree that changes should be made to the limitations on discovery imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Local Rule or that any other limitations should be imposed on discovery, set forth such changes or limitations:

N/A

11. Please answer the following questions in regard to the discovery of electronically stored information (“ESI”):

- a. **ESI.** Is either party seeking the discovery of ESI in this case?

X Yes ☐ No [If “No,” skip to sub-part (e) below.]

- b. **ESI Discovery Plan.** The parties have reviewed and discussed the Court’s Checklist for Rule 26(f) Meet and Confer Regarding Electronically Stored Information set forth in “[Appendix LCvR 26.2.C-CHECKLIST](#)” to the Local Rules and:

X Have agreed that, in light of the facts and issues in this case, there is no need to complete an ESI discovery plan, and will conduct ESI discovery by

Producing ESI in the normal course of discovery and searching all available electronic assets in responding to discovery requests. To the extent it appears that ESI is not practically available, inaccessible, destroyed or disproportionate to the needs of the case, the Parties will meet and confer to develop a specific discovery plan based upon the situation.

- ☐ Have developed an ESI discovery plan (as attached).
- ☐ Will have an ESI discovery plan completed by
- ☐ NOTE: At the direction of the Court, parties may be required to submit a draft of the Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation set forth in “[Appendix LCvR 26.2.E-MODEL ORDER](#)” to the Local Rules, to address specific issues relative to the parties’ exchange of electronic discovery and ESI. If the parties are unable to do so, they should advise the Court promptly.

- c. **Preservation.** Have the parties agreed on any protocol for the preservation of electronic data and/or potentially relevant ESI?

Yes X No

- d. **ADR.** Does any party believe that the exchange of ESI is necessary prior to conducting meaningful Alternative Dispute Resolution (“ADR”) in this case?

☐ Yes X No

- e. **Clawback Agreement.** The parties have reviewed F.R.C.P. 26(b)(5), F.R.E. 502 and [LCvR 16.1.D](#), Procedures Following Inadvertent Disclosure, and:

X Request the Court enter an Order implementing Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) such as the model Order set forth in “[Appendix LCvR 16.1.D](#)” to the Local Rules and filed with this Report.

- ☐ Have agreed on alternate non-waiver language, which either is or will be

incorporated within the ESI discovery plan.

☐ Are unable to agree on appropriate non-waiver language.

- f. **EDSM and E-Mediator.** Does any party believe that the appointment of an E-Discovery Special Master (“EDSM”) or E-Mediator would help resolve ESI discovery issues in this case? For further information, see the Court’s official website at <http://www.pawd.uscourts.gov>.
☐ Yes ☒ No

- g. **Other.** Identify all outstanding disputes concerning any ESI issues:

12. Set forth whether the parties have elected to schedule the Post-Discovery Status Conference following the completion of Fact Discovery or Expert Discovery; in either event the parties shall be prepared at the Post-Discovery Status Conference to discuss and/or schedule the following: (The parties are *not* required during their Rule 26(f) Conference to consider or propose dates for the items identified below. Those dates will be determined, if necessary, at the Post-Discovery Status Conference. Lead trial counsel for each party and each unrepresented party are required to attend the Post- Discovery Status Conference with their calendars in hand to discuss those items listed below that require scheduling. In addition, a representative with settlement authority of each party shall be required to attend; representatives with settlement authority of any insurance company providing any coverage shall be available throughout the Conference by telephone):

The parties agree to phase discovery with fact discovery occurring first followed by an end of fact discovery conference and expert discovery, if necessary.

- a. **Settlement and/or transfer to an ADR procedure;**
- b. **Dates for the filing of expert reports and the completion of expert discovery as itemized in sub-paragraphs 9.f. through 9.k., above, if the parties elected to defer such discovery until after the Post-Discovery Status Conference;**
- c. **Dates by which dispositive motions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56, replies thereto and responses to replies should be filed;**
- d. **Dates by which parties' pre-trial statements should be filed;**
- e. **Dates by which *in limine* and *Daubert* motions and responses thereto should be filed;**
- f. **Dates on which motions *in limine* and *Daubert* motions shall be heard;**
- g. **Dates proposed for final pre-trial conference;**

h. Presumptive and final trial dates.

13. Set forth any other order(s) that the parties agree should be entered by the court pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) or 26(c): No special orders anticipated.
14. Set forth whether the parties anticipate that the court may have to appoint a special master to deal with any matter and if so, specify the proposed role of any such master and any special qualifications that such master may require to perform such role: No special master anticipated.
15. If the parties have failed to agree with regard to any subject for which a report is required as set forth above, except for proposed dates required in paragraph 9, above, briefly set forth the position of each party with regard to each matter on which agreement has not been reached:
16. Set forth whether the parties have considered the possibility of settlement of the action and describe briefly the nature of that consideration:

There have been no settlement discussions to date.

Respectfully submitted,

s/Elizabeth A. Tuttle

Elizabeth A. Tuttle, Esquire
Counsel for Plaintiffs

Law Offices of Joel Sansone
Two Gateway Center, Suite 1290
603 Stanwix Street
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222
412.281.9194

s/ Christopher P. Furman

Christopher P. Furman, Esquire
Counsel for Defendant Karch

Makel & Associates, LLC
98 E. Maiden Street
Washington, Pennsylvania 15301
724.222.7639